PHILIP GODLEWSKI,

Plaintiff, MAURI B IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

v. RECOERT 2017 2017 2017 2017

CHRIS KELLY et al.,

Defendants.

: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

# SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER DOCKETED SEPTEMBER 3, 2024 GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

#### **ARGUMENT**

As set forth in Godlewski's Memorandum in Support of his Motion for Reconsideration, Godlewski requested transcripts of his guilty plea proceedings in Lackawanna County CR-2010-2613 and was informed by Court Administration that they were unavailable. This representation notwithstanding, a docket entry was made in that case on September 27, 2024 that the transcript of the plea proceeding on November 12, 2010 was produced and filed. A true and correct copy of the transcript is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

The text of transcript supports Godlewski's arguments concerning the factual basis for the plea on that date. During the plea proceeding, the Commonwealth indicated that "he was pleading to the information, count one of the information." Ex. 1, p. 3:21-23. The information contained only one count. Ex. 1, p. 3 18-20. The Commonwealth recited the factual basis for the plea as "Between January of 2008 and July of 2010, the defendant unlawfully being of 18 years and upwards corrupted or tended to corrupt the morals of any minor less than 18 years of age, or did aid, abet, entice or encourage any such minor in the commission of a crime, the defendant commit — the defendant did repeatedly have inappropriate text messages and contact with a

minor." Ex. 1, pp. 4: 24-5; 5: 1-8. Godlewski admitted to the conduct as recited by the Commonwealth. Ex. 1, p. 5: 10-13.

Consistent with the holding in Com., Department of Transportation v. Mitchell, 517 Pa. 203, 535 A.2d 581 (1987) and its progeny, the Court should now find that the factual basis of Godlewski's plea as revealed by the information and the oral plea colloquy, contained no admission whatsoever for any sexual relationship with DuBorgel. Accordingly, the Court must find that Godlewski is not collaterally estopped by the plea from denying the sexual relationship with DuBorgel

# **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff Philip Godlewski respectfully requests that the Honorable Court reverse its prior determination on summary judgment and permit Godlewski's defamation and false light invasion of privacy claims related to the imputation of criminal conduct proceed to trial.

DATE: September 27, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

KOLMAN LAW, PC

Firnoth A. Bowers, PA77980

/s/ Timothy M. Kolman

Timothy M. Kolman, PA51982

Kymberley L. Best, PA94596

414 Hulmeville Avenue

Penndel, PA 19047

(215) 750-3134

Attorneys for Plaintiff.

COMBINED CERTIFICATE

LACITAWANIA COUNTY

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have, this 27th day of September 2024; served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document by email upon the following  $\mathcal{E}_{CORDS}^{CLERK}$  of Judicial Division

J. Timothy Hinton, Esquire timhinton@haggertylaw.net Counsel for Defendants

I certify that this filing complies with the provisions of the Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts that require filing confidential information and documents differently than non-confidential information and documents.

1	COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
2	LACKAWANNA COUNTY
3	LACKAWANNA COUNTT
4	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA X
5	X X X
6	-vs- X No. 2010-CR-2613 X
7	X PHILIP GODLEWSKI X
8	X X
9	X
10	
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS OF GUILTY PLEA
12	
13	BEFORE: HONORABLE VITO P. GEROULO
14	DATE: November 12, 2010
15	D. 405
16 17	PLACE: Lackawanna County Courthouse Courtroom 2
18	200 N. Washington Avenue Scranton, Pennsylvania 18503
19	
20	APPEARANCES
21	For the Commonwealth: PATTY LAFFERTY, ESQUIRE
22	Assistant District Attorney
23	For the Defendant: JOE D'ANDREA, ESQUIRE
24	
25	Wenda Halliday Official Court Reporter

THE COURT: Philip Godlewski?

MS. LAFFERTY: Judge, we're here on Commonwealth versus Philip Godlewski. It's 10-CR-2613. It's my understanding that he's going to plead to count one, which is a corruption of minors charge carrying a 5 year up to \$10,000 maximum fine.

### **EXAMINATION BY THE COURT:**

- Q. And do you pronounce your name Godlewski or Godlewski?
  - A. Godlewski.
- Q. Godlewski. And Mr. Godlewski, did you sign and initial this document?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I take that to mean that you have read, understood and answered truthfully all questions in this document, is that true?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So you know you don't have to plead guilty, you have a right to go to trial?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And you understand that when you do plead guilty, you give up your right to trial and you give up all of the other rights that are contained in this document, including the right to be presumed innocent,

do you understand that?

- A. Yes, your Honor.
- Q. Has anyone threatened you or forced you to get you to plead guilty?
  - A. No, your Honor.
- Q. Are you pleading guilty of your own free will after consulting with Mr. D'Andrea because you both believe it's in your best interest to do so?
  - A. Yes, your Honor.
- Q. Do you understand the maximum penalty you can receive is up to 5 years in prison and a \$10,000 fine?
  - A. Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And is there a plea agreement here, Mr. D'Andrea?

MR. D'ANDREA: Yes, your Honor, in exchange of the plea, there were some other other--I never got an information. Is there only one charge on the information?

MS. LAFFERTY: Uh-huh.

MR. D'ANDREA: That he was pleading to the information, count one of the information. And there has been a stipulated sentence if the court so approves for a period of 3 months of home confinement

to a maximum of 23 months.

THE COURT: Okay, Commonwealth is recommending that sentence, is that correct?

MS. LAFFERTY: Yes, your Honor.

#### BY THE COURT:

- Q. Alright, so Mr. Godlewski, even though I just told you the maximum penalties you can receive is up to 5 years in prison and a \$10,000 fine, the District Attorney, as part of the plea agreement, is recommending that if any sentence is determined to be imposed by me, that they are recommending that it not exceed 3 months of home confinement with a maximum of 23 months of supervision, is that your understanding of the agreement?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you also understand that if after completing the presentence investigation, I find that I cannot impose that sentence or I'm unwilling to do so, I must allow you to withdraw your plea, do you understand that?
  - A. Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay, alright, please give me the facts?

MS. LAFFERTY: Between January of 2008 and July of 2010, the defendant

unlawfully being of 18 years and upwards corrupted or tended to corrupt the morals of any minor less than 18 years of age, or did aid, abet, entice or encourage any such minor in the commission of a crime, the defendant commit--the defendant did repeatedly have inappropriate text messages and contact with a minor.

### BY THE COURT:

- Q. And you admit that between January of '08 and January of 2010, you engaged in the conduct just described by the District Attorney?
  - A. Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Alright, we'll accept the guilty plea, we'll defer the imposition of sentence pending the presentence investigation.

MR. D'ANDREA: Thank you, sir. (WHEREUPON, the proceedings concluded.)

## <u>CERTIFICATE</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the above cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Notes taken by Wenda Halliday Official Court Reporter

Transcribed by Elizabeth V. Kedrick Official Court Reporter

The foregoing record of the proceedings upon the above cause is hereby approved and directed to be filed.

Date

(The foregoing certificate of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)

HONORABLE VITO P. GEROULO